UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/543,107	01/04/2006	Tobias Linke	037068.56494US	5474	
23911 CROWELL & 1	7590 03/05/200 MORING LLP	8	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P		
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/543,107	LINKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J.´ lely filed the mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.			monto io		
ologod in addordance with the practice and c	x parte gaayle, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original control of the property of the p	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/543,107 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 11-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bieker et al. '874 E in view of Thiel et al. and Kay et al.

Regarding claims 11-30 Bieker et al. shows a spring and fastening arrangement similar to what is discussed on pages 1 and 2 of applicant's specification with respect to publication EP 0534987. See Bieker et al. figure 1 the slot in the caliper in the area above the closing plate 41.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,107 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Lacking in Bieker et al. is a specific description of the spring and clamping arrangement and a closing plate 41 having a slot for receiving an end of the retaining clamp.

Thiel et al. is relied upon for showing a spring (28,54,56) and clamp (36,38,40) arrangement known in the art.

Kay et al. is relied upon to show a similar spring and clamp arrangement at 34,36, and 40 and that it is known to make singular parts plural with regards to the fastening arrangement for the clamp ends 40. Compare figure 2B with figure 5A,5B and note the fastening arrangement at 114,116.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have substituted one of the known spring arrangements of either Thiel et al. or Kay et al. for that of Bieker et al. as a simple substitution of one well known pad spring arrangement for another. Similarly it would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled worker in the art to have simply made the plate of Bieker et al. (to have extended it upwards) to include a slot to hold an end of the clamping device so that the pad spring and clamping arrangement could be more easily adapted to different style calipers.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,107 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rob Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher P. Schwartz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3683